Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/845,575	LAMBERT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Quoc A. Tran	2176
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Quoc A. Tran(USPTO).	(3)	
(2) Joshua D. Mather (ATTORNEY).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 February 2006</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Supplemental Examiner's Amendment.		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: claim 7		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	WILLIAM BAS PRIMARY EXA H14200	MINER
Quox TRAN 2/14/2006		
Examine#SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Authorization for examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mr. Joshua D. Mather, Attorney for Applicants, Reg. No.: 53,282 Customer No. 30/948 on 02/14/2006.

The application has been amended as follows:

7. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim[[s]] 1 [[or]] [[3]], wherein the request for a web page content is received via a Uniform Resource Locator (URL) link published or stored in the Internet.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

WILLIAM BASHORE PRIMARY EXAMINER